Finite Element Methods for Flow Simulations

Daniel Arndt

Heidelberg University Interdisciplinary Center for Scientific Computing

The Heidelberg Laureate Forum at MATCH



21. September 2016



Overview



- 2 Discretization
- 3 Numerical Results
- 4 Further Topics

Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations

Momentum Equation - Inertial Frame of Reference

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{u} -
u \Delta \boldsymbol{u} + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot
abla) \boldsymbol{u} +
abla \boldsymbol{p} = \boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{u}}$$

 $abla \cdot oldsymbol{u} = 0$

Motion of viscuous, incompressible fluids

- u velocity
- p velocity
- ν kinematic viscosity
- Parabolic PDE of second order
- Non-linear
- Challenge: $\nu \rightarrow 0$



C. Fukushima and J. Westerweel, Technical University of Delft (Wikimedia Commons)

Navier-Stokes Equations - Millenium Problem¹

Momentum Equation - Inertial Frame of Reference

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{u} - \nu \Delta \boldsymbol{u} + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{p} = \boldsymbol{f}_u$$
 (1)

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0 \tag{2}$$

Open question: Existence of a solution satisfying

(1), (2)

•
$$\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{p} \in \boldsymbol{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,\infty))$$

• $f_u \equiv 0$

•
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t)|^2 d\boldsymbol{x} \leq C \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

for a given $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},0)\in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3),$ $abla\cdot\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},0)=0.$

¹Charles L Fefferman. "Existence and smoothness of the Navier-Stokes equation". In: *The millennium prize problems* (2006), pp. 57–67

Weak Formulation

Consider a bounded domain $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ and the function spaces

$$\boldsymbol{V} := [W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)]^d \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{Q} := L^2_*(\Omega)$$

Find $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{p})$: $(t_0, T) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{V} \times \boldsymbol{Q}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) + \boldsymbol{c}_u(\boldsymbol{u}; \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) + \nu(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}, \nabla \boldsymbol{v}) - (\boldsymbol{p}, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v}) &= (\boldsymbol{f}_u, \boldsymbol{v}), \\ (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}, q) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $(\mathbf{v}, q) \in \mathbf{V} \times Q$ and $t \in (t_0, T)$ a.e.

$$\begin{aligned} c_u(\boldsymbol{w}; \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) &:= \frac{1}{2} \big[((\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) - ((\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{u}) \big] \\ \text{Existence } \checkmark & \text{Uniqueness ?} \end{aligned}$$

Overview



- 2 Discretization
 - 3 Numerical Results
 - 4 Further Topics

Weak Formulation - Conforming Methods

Finite dimensional spaces

L

$$\mathcal{U}_h := [W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)]^d \qquad Q_h \subset Q := L^2_*(\Omega)$$

Find (\boldsymbol{u}_h, p_h) : $(t_0, T) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{V}_h \times \boldsymbol{Q}_h$ such that $(\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}_h, \boldsymbol{v}_h) + c_u(\boldsymbol{u}_h; \boldsymbol{u}_h, \boldsymbol{v}_h) + \nu(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_h, \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_h) - (p_h, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_h) = (\boldsymbol{f}_u, \boldsymbol{v}_h),$ $(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_h, \boldsymbol{q}_h) = 0$

for all $(\boldsymbol{v}_h, q_h) \in \boldsymbol{V}_h imes \boldsymbol{Q}_h$ and $t \in (t_0, T)$ a.e.

 \rightarrow Nonlinear finite dimensional problem $\stackrel{\text{Linearize}}{\longrightarrow}$ Linear algebra

Existence

Uniqueness

Problem: $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{V}_h \not\subset \boldsymbol{Q}_h \implies \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_h \neq 0$

Problems



Dominant convection



Poor mass conservation



Shear and boundary layers



Large Coriolis forces (non-inertial frame of reference)

Daniel Arndt

8

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) + \boldsymbol{c}_u(\boldsymbol{u}; \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) + \nu(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}, \nabla \boldsymbol{v}) - (\boldsymbol{p}, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v}) &= (\boldsymbol{f}_u, \boldsymbol{v}), \\ (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}, q) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}) + \boldsymbol{c}_u(\boldsymbol{u}; \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}) + \nu(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}, \nabla \boldsymbol{u}) - (\boldsymbol{p}, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}) &= (\boldsymbol{f}_u, \boldsymbol{u}), \\ (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{p}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}) + \underline{c}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}) + \nu(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}, \nabla \boldsymbol{u}) - (\underline{\rho}, \nabla \boldsymbol{u}) = (\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{u}}, \boldsymbol{u}),$$
$$(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}, \rho) = 0$$

$$(\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}) + \underline{c}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}) + \nu(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}, \nabla \boldsymbol{u}) - (\underline{\rho}, \nabla \boldsymbol{u}) = (\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{u}}, \boldsymbol{u}),$$
$$(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}, \rho) = 0$$

Idea: Stabilize only small scales

- Family of macro decompositions {*M_h*}
- $D_M \subset [L^{\infty}(M)]^d$ finite elemente ansatz space on $M \in \mathcal{M}_h$.

9

• $\pi_M : [L^2(M)]^d \to D_M$ orthogonal L^2 projection, $\kappa_M = Id - \pi_M$ fluctuation operator

$$(\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}) + \underline{c}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}) + \nu(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}, \nabla \boldsymbol{u}) - (\underline{\rho}, \nabla \boldsymbol{u}) = (\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{u}}, \boldsymbol{u}),$$
$$(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}, \rho) = 0$$

Idea: Stabilize only small scales

- Family of macro decompositions {*M_h*}
- $D_M \subset [L^{\infty}(M)]^d$ finite elemente ansatz space on $M \in \mathcal{M}_h$.

9

• $\pi_M : [L^2(M)]^d \to D_M$ orthogonal L^2 projection, $\kappa_M = Id - \pi_M$ fluctuation operator

$$\begin{array}{lll} (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_h, \boldsymbol{q}) & \longrightarrow & \tau_{\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{gd}, \boldsymbol{M}} (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_h, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_h)_{\boldsymbol{M}} \\ c_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{u}_h; \boldsymbol{u}_h, \boldsymbol{v}_h) & \longrightarrow & \tau_{\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{M}} (\kappa_{\boldsymbol{M}}((\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{M}} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{u}_h), \kappa_{\boldsymbol{M}}(\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{M}} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_h))_{\boldsymbol{M}} \end{array}$$

Analytical Results

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}:=(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{p}),\qquad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_h:=(\boldsymbol{u}_h,\boldsymbol{p}_h)$$

$$|||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}|||_{Stab}^{2} := \nu \|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}\|_{0}^{2} + \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}_{h}} \left(\gamma_{M} \|\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}\|_{0,M}^{2} + \tau_{M} \|\kappa_{M}((\boldsymbol{u}_{M} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{u})\|_{0,M}^{2}\right)$$

Aims:

- suitable choice of stabilization parameters
- quasi-optimal error estimates

$$egin{aligned} &\|oldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}-oldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_h\|_{l^\infty(t_0, au;L^2(\Omega))}^2+|||oldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}-oldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_h||_{l^2(t_0, au;Stab)}^2\ &\leq Ce^{C_G(au-t_0)}\left(\inf_{oldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_h}\left\{\|oldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}-oldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_h\|_{l^\infty(t_0, au;L^2(\Omega))}^2+|||oldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}-oldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_h|||_{l^2(t_0, au;Stab)}^2
ight\}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

• semi-robust error estimates $C(\psi)$, $C_G(\psi)$

Overview









Analytical Test Case - Setting

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \begin{pmatrix} -\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\boldsymbol{x}\right) \cdot \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\boldsymbol{y}\right) \cdot \sin\left(\pi \cdot t\right) \\ \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\boldsymbol{x}\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\boldsymbol{y}\right) \cdot \sin\left(\pi \cdot t\right) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = -\pi \cdot \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\boldsymbol{x}\right) \cdot \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\boldsymbol{y}\right) \cdot \sin(\pi \cdot t)$$
$$\frac{\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{k+1}}{\|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{k}} = 1 \qquad \Omega = [-1,1]^{2}$$
$$T = 4 \cdot 10^{-1} \quad \Delta t = 4 \cdot 10^{-5}$$



Analytical Test Case - Parameter Choice - $\nu = 10^{-4}$



Further Topics

Analytical Test Case - Parameter Choice



Blasius Flow, Flow over Horizontal Plate

Prandtl's Boundary Layer Equation

$$u = u_{\infty} f'(\eta)$$

2f'''(\eta) + f(\eta)f''(\eta) = 0
f(0) = f'(0) = 0, f'(\infty) = 1

where $\eta = y \sqrt{u_{\infty}/(\nu x)}$ is a non-dimensional variable.



Blasius Flow



 $\nu = 10^{-3}, \quad \gamma = 1, \quad \tau_M = 0, \quad h = 2^{-5}$

Blasius Flow - $\nu = 10^{-3}$



Adaptively refined mesh, $\tau_M = 0$



Globally refined mesh, $\tau_M = 1$

Overview



- 2 Discretization
- 3 Numerical Results



Further Topics

Not covered today

- Time discretization
- Linear algebra
 - Fast solver
 - Multigrid
 - Matrix-Free methods
- Implementation \Rightarrow deal.II
- Turbulence modeling
- MHD, nonisothermal flow, ...





Thanks for your attention!

Rayleigh-Bénard Convection



T = 1000, Pr = 0.786, $N = 10 \cdot 16^3,$ $\gamma_M = 0.1$